

18. THAT throughout the conduct of matters related to property L.R. No. 3589/6, AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI has made several payments to me or to my order, on account of profits for professional services rendered in respect of the disputes on the property. Annexed herewith and collectively marked as "BY5" are true copies of the payment cheques in that regard.
19. THAT I introduced JACOB JUMA, a director of ERAD SUPPLIERS & GENERAL CONTRACTS to AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI who now acts for the company as Lead Counsel in Misc. Civil Case No. 639 of 2009, Erad Suppliers & General Contracts -vs- National Cereal & Produce Board.
20. THAT it was agreed amongst AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI, JACOB JUMA and I that our total consideration would be Kshs. 50,000,000.00 to be shared equally. Annexed herewith and collectively marked as "BY6" is a true copy of a ruling delivered in the said case and letters addressed to me on the issue of payments.
21. THAT the hefty fee of Kshs. 50,000,000.00 was negotiated by AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI on the promise to JACOB JUMA that the former enjoyed patronage with the Judge before whom the claim is pending whose appointment he participated in and he would ensure 100% delivery within the shortest time possible.
22. THAT the speed of the progression of the claim before the said Judge is testimony of AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI's claim of patronage and promise of speed delivery.
23. THAT characteristic of him, AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI has procured the termination of the written instructions for my payment now that he is assured of delivery of the promise to Jacob Juma as evidenced by the letter dated 7th March, 2012 exhibit as part of "BY6" above.
24. THAT I introduced JACOB JUMA to AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI to represent the former in a claim over a prime property in Lower Kabete pending before a judge in the Environmental and Land Division, who again, AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI claimed enjoyed patronage with and would ensure 100% delivery within the shortest time possible.
25. THAT it was agreed amongst JACOB JUMA, AHMEDNASIR ABDULLAHI and I that our remuneration for professional services in the matter would be 4